



UNITED STATES  
POSTAL SERVICE®

# **Mail Prep and Entry Steering Committee Monthly Webinar**

June 19, 2014

- **Welcome**
- **Continue Review B&E Matrix ( from May meeting)**
- **Standard Mail Flats < 20 ounces**
- **Parcel Select Lightweight – CIN Code**
- **Next Steps and Wrap Up**

- 116 ideas submitted to date
  - 64 closed
    - Out of scope, withdrawn or re-assigned
    - 25 were closed because of a need for Change / Improvement template submitted or idea withdrawn.
  - 23 completed
    - Postal Bulletin articles and DMM revisions announced
  - 29 remaining in Benefit/Effort matrix

- High Priority
  - 9 Items
- Medium Priority
  - 14 Items
- Low Priority
  - 5 Items
- Pending Further Review (B&E Matrix)
  - 1 Item

Medium Priority	Notes
Issue 66: Presort verification for flats in DMUs	<b>Seamless entry. USPS will continue to follow up.</b>
Issue 74: Removal of Origin Sack Mail	<b>USPS LSS project on sacks.</b>
Issue 81: Ensure MDF directs all DSCF and 5D containers to the deepest possible Dest Facility	<b>Hubs partially address this issue.</b>
Issue 82: Industry and USPS develop a strategy to increase the % of flats that comail	<b>Link with item #92.</b>
Issue 93: Evaluate opportunity to improve scheme design, including communication around usage, alignment of lists with processing, use of and minimum requirements for pallets and trays	<b>Label List L012 addresses this issue.</b>
Issue 94: Label lists - alignment with processing locations, documentation & structure, communication around usage, upcoming and planned changes	<b>Label List L012 addresses this issue.</b>
Issue 101: Review NDC non-machineable parcel pricing and eliminate higher pricing at deeper sort levels, align pricing to encourage more destination entry and presorting of non-machineable parcels	<b>OIS has researched with Pricing. Provided in depth answer with April Webinar. Recommend closing.</b>
Issue 102: Label lists - alignment with processing locations, documentation & structure, communication around usage, upcoming and planned changes	<b>Delivery has responsibility for parcel Label List. Will review.</b>

Low Priority	Notes
Issue 83: If the address label of non-CRRT flats also included the CRRT designation, non CRRT mail that flowed to the DDU could be cased and backflowing could be eliminated.	
Issue 84: 218 UFSM 1000's have been removed from service and only 36 remain as of July 2013. With the equipment fleet nearly sunset, a review should be conducted to determine if any obsolete standards or prices remain which were related to utilizing that equipment	
Issue 85: The current SAMP operation is not capable of inducting facility pallets. The majority of FSS volume is prepped onto facility pallets. As a result, a majority of FSS prepped bundles require an additional bundle sort before reaching the SAMP, creating additional USPS transportation, processing costs, and puts service standards at risk.	
Issue 87: A significant portion of the FSS ROI relies upon 100% of mail destined for FSS zones is prepped into both FSS bundles and pallets. Today a majority of mail destined for the FSS zones is not prepped onto FSS containers but needs to be in order to realize an ROI.	
Issue 91: Although the preparation rules for all DPS flats are the same, the price structures are all different. As a result, pricing signals are weakened as a vehicle to reach DPS ROI.	<b>More robust pricing for FSS under review for 2015.</b>
Issue 104: Presort options for parcels are somewhat limited. Additional cost savings and efficiencies could be gained by exploring additional presort options for parcels.	<b>Needs Further evaluation</b>

- Idea number #117 submitted by ALG Worldwide Logistics
  - Template submitted & being evaluated
  - Increase Standard Mail Flats to 20 ounces
  - B & E Matrix will rate the request once the evaluation is complete
    - May need additional information from the Industry

- **Correct Labelling for Parcel Select Lightweight**
  - DMM 455.7.2 & 455.7.3 states to use PSLW for Line 2
  - DMM 708.6.2.4 states to use STD for Line 2
- USPS did not create a separate CIN code for PSLW
- Mailers are told to use PSLW marking on Line 2 instead of STD
- Fix – Need to align DMM 455 and DMM 708 and separate CIN Codes



- Next webinar Meeting
  - July 17, 2PM – 3PM EST
- Next Face to Face Meeting
  - August 19, 2014, 9AM – 12PM EST
- Wrap up